Electrooptical Properties and Molecular Polarization of Iodine, I₂

George Maroulis[†] and Constantinos Makris

Department of Chemistry, University of Patras, GR-26500 Patras, Greece

Uwe Hohm[‡] and Dirk Goebel

Institut für Physikalische und Theoretische Chemie der Technischen Universität Braunschweig, Hans-Sommer-Strasse 10, D-38106 Braunschweig, F.R.G.

Received: August 20, 1996; In Final Form: November 4, 1996[®]

Experimental and theoretical efforts are combined to determine a reliable value of the static dipole polarizability of I₂. The experimental estimate of the mean dipole polarizability is $\alpha = 69.7 \pm 1.8e^2a_0^2E_h^{-1}$. The polarizability of the iodine atom has also been deduced from experimental measurements and is estimated at $32.9 \pm 1.3e^2a_0^2E_h^{-1}$. Accurate ab initio calculations predict $\alpha = 70.9 \pm 1.4e^2a_0^2E_h^{-1}$ and for the anisotropy $\Delta \alpha = 41.2 \pm 0.8e^2a_0^2E_h^{-1}$. The deformation of the iodine molecule in the presence of general static fields has been studied and analyzed.

Introduction

Electric multipole moments and polarizabilities are fundamental properties of atoms and molecules. The accurate description of the polarization of a molecule interacting with an electric field represents the cornerstone of the rational approach to a wide range of phenomena. These include nonlinear optical phenomena,^{1,2} various phenomena induced by intermolecular interactions^{3,4} and electron scattering.⁵ The knowledge of accurate electric moments and polarizabilities is presently highly desirable as they find application in various models predicting the structure and properties of weakly bonded van der Waals molecules.^{6,7} One should emphasize the importance of polarizability in various fields of interest for general chemistry: in studies of relative gas-phase acidities and basicities,^{8,9} the study of hardness/softness,¹⁰ the relationship between atomic polarizability and electronegativity,¹¹ the relationship of hardness with polarizability and the dipole moment¹² and the study of hydrogen-bonding capabilities in peptides.¹³ Last, the study of molecular polarizability supports actively the efforts directed toward the synthesis of new molecules with enhanced nonlinear optical properties.^{14,15} Understandably, the determination of electric moments and polarizabilities constitutes a very active research field.¹⁶⁻²⁰

There is currently a renewed interest in the physicochemical behavior of iodine. Recent efforts include a study of the I_2 —Ne van der Waals system,²¹ the study of I_2 in solid Kr,²² and I_2 in rare-gas solvents²³ as well as detailed spectroscopic investigations of I_2^{24} which are helpful for realizing frequency standards. Moreover, the study of the collisional shift and broadening of iodine spectral lines in air by Fletcher and McDaniel²⁵ brought forth the need for accurate dipole polarizability values for this molecules. In this work we combine experimental and theoretical efforts to obtain an accurate estimate of the static mean dipole polarizability. Our efforts extend to the description of the polarizability curve around the experimental equilibrium bond length and the study of the molecular polarization in the presence of a general static electric field.

Experimental Work and Results

The interferometric experiments are performed with an evacuated Michelson twin interferometer, which has been used before for the determination of the electrooptical properties of NO₂/N₂O₄,²⁶ Zn,²⁷ Cd,²⁸ and Hg.²⁹ We measured the complex refractive index $\hat{n}(\sigma,p,T) = n(\sigma) - ia(\sigma)/(4\pi\sigma)$ ($\sigma = 1/\lambda =$ wavenumber, $a(\sigma) =$ absorption coefficient of Lambert–Beer's law) at three discrete wavenumbers $\sigma_1 = 15$ 798.0 cm⁻¹, $\sigma_2 = 16$ 832.3 cm⁻¹, and $\sigma_3 = 30$ 756.9 cm⁻¹, using laser as radiation sources. Additionally, we have applied dispersive Fourier transform spectroscopy in order to obtain $\hat{n}(\sigma,p,T)$ in the wavenumber range between 11 500 and 17 500 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of about 7 cm⁻¹, using a 100 W halogen lamp as white-light source.

 $\hat{n}(\sigma, p, T)$ is analyzed according to the complex Lorenz– Lorentz formula,³⁰ yielding the frequency-dependent polarizability $\alpha(\sigma)$ as well as the absorption coefficent $a(\sigma)$. $a(\sigma)$ is in very good accordance with an absorption spectrum of similar resolution given by Capelle and Broida,³¹ and the vibrational structure of the B ${}^{3}\Pi_{u}^{+} \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ transition is exactly reproduced. The main purpose, however, is the determination of $\alpha(\sigma)$, where our measurements yield $\alpha(\sigma_{1}) = 86.8 \pm 2.2$, α - $(\sigma_{2}) = 93.6 \pm 3.4$, and $\alpha(\sigma_{3}) = 95.3 \pm 1.9$ (all in au).

The quasi-continuous spectrum of $\alpha(\sigma)$ of I₂ in the experimentally accessible σ -range is shown in Figure 1 (full curve). One can recognize the vibrational energy spacing of the B state of iodine in this *polarizability* spectrum, too. This feature has never been observed experimentally up to now in a broad wavenumber range. To obtain the static value of the dipole polarizability, $\alpha(0)$, we have fitted the global shape of our recorded polarizability spectrum to a two-term Kramers–Heisenberg dispersion relation, which disregards the vibrational fine-structure measured in our $\alpha(\sigma)$ spectrum. However, we make allowance for the strong absorption in the visible by including radiation damping:

$$\alpha(\sigma) = \left(\frac{E_h}{hc}\right)^2 \left[\frac{f_1(\sigma_{01}^2 - \sigma^2)}{(\sigma_{01}^2 - \sigma^2)^2 + (\Gamma_1 \sigma)^2} + \frac{f_2}{\sigma_{02}^2 - \sigma^2}\right] \quad (1)$$

h and *c* are Planck's constant and the speed of light in vacuum, respectively. A nonlinear fit yields the effective transition

[†] Author for correspondence for the theoretical part. [‡] Author for correspondence for the experimental part.

[®] Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, December 15, 1996.

TABLE 1: All-Electron, Self-Consistent Field Electric Moments and Dipole Polarizability for I_2 at the Equilibrium Internuclear Separation of $R_e = 2.6663$ (z Is the Molecular Axis, All Values in au)

| basis | description | CGTF | Θ | Φ | α_{zz} | α_{xx} | α | Δα |
|-------|---------------|------|--------|----------|---------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| B1.0 | [6s5p3d] | 72 | 5.7116 | 269.1395 | 98.059 | 52.779 | 67.872 | 45.280 |
| B1.1 | [6s5p4d] | 82 | 5.7463 | 267.0947 | 95.185 | 51.988 | 66.387 | 43.197 |
| B1.2 | [6s5p4d1f] | 96 | 4.9700 | 238.0947 | 97.550 | 52.000 | 67.183 | 45.550 |
| B1.3 | [6s5p4d2f] | 110 | 4.6148 | 230.4760 | 97.281 | 52.288 | 67.286 | 44.993 |
| B1.4 | [7s6p5d3f] | 142 | 4.6001 | 227.3421 | 98.503 | 53.183 | 68.290 | 45.320 |
| B2.0 | [11s9p5d] | 126 | 5.6479 | 270.8061 | 100.859 | 54.113 | 69.695 | 46.746 |
| B2.1 | [11s9p6d] | 136 | 5.5905 | 272.7717 | 98.990 | 54.491 | 69.324 | 44.499 |
| B2.2 | [11s9p6d2f] | 164 | 4.5897 | 233.5639 | 99.737 | 54.206 | 69.383 | 45.531 |
| B2.3 | [12s10p7d3f] | 196 | 4.6111 | 236.4921 | 100.169 | 54.861 | 69.964 | 45.308 |
| B3.0 | [20s15p12d] | 250 | 5.1435 | 267.3543 | 100.202 | 54.916 | 70.011 | 45.287 |
| B3.1 | [20s15p12d4f] | 306 | 4.5502 | 243.3477 | 100.040 | 55.020 | 70.027 | 45.020 |
| B3.2 | [21s16p13d5f] | 338 | 4.5498 | 243.7242 | 100.063 | 55.049 | 70.054 | 45.013 |



Figure 1. Experimentally determined dispersion of $\alpha(\sigma)$ of I_2 (full curve and \Box , this work; \bigcirc , ref 52; \bullet , ref 53; \bullet , ref 51). The dashed curve is fitted according to eq 1. × best ab initio value, this work. The insert shows the vibrational structure of the polarizability due to the B ${}^{3}\Pi_{u}^{+} \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ transition in more detail.

wavenumbers $\sigma_{01} = 1.7680 \pm 0.0021$ and $\sigma_{02} = 5.379 \pm 0.046$ (both in 10⁴ cm⁻¹), the corresponding oscillator strengths $f_1 = 0.020 \ 00 \pm 0.000 \ 88$ and $f_2 = 4.003 \pm 0.087$, and the radiation damping constant $\Gamma_1 = (1.111 \pm 0.046) \times 10^3 \ cm^{-1}$. Interestingly, σ_{01} corresponds very well to the absorption maximum in the visible, whereas σ_{02} is located in between the continuous absorption band of the $D \ {}^1\Sigma_u^+ \leftarrow X \ {}^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition of iodine (Cordes bands^{32,33}). However, f_1 is about twice the value given by Mulliken³³ (0.0121). Equation 1 gives a static limit of $\alpha = \alpha(\sigma \rightarrow 0) = 69.7 \pm 1.8$ au, which can considered to be the first experimentally determined static dipole polarizability of molecular iodine.

Theory and ab Initio Calculations

For a linear centrosymmetric molecule, as I₂, all electric moments have only one independent component (with *z* as the molecular axis, these are chosen to be Θ_{zz} and Φ_{zzzz} or just Θ (quadrupole moment) and Φ (hexadecapole moment) hereafter) and the dipole polarizability tensor $\alpha_{\alpha\beta}$ is specified by α_{xx} and α_{zz} .³⁴ The mean dipole polarizability and the anisotropy are then defined as $\alpha = (\alpha_{zz} + 2\alpha_{xx})/3$ and $\Delta \alpha = \alpha_{zz} - \alpha_{xx}$. We have used three groups of basis sets of Gaussian-type functions (GTF) in the ab initio study presented in this work (see Table 1): B1,³⁵ B2,³⁵ and B3.³⁶ The largest basis set is B3.2 or [21s16p13d5f] in contracted form, consisting of 338 GTF and yielding a self-consistent field (SCF) energy of $-13835.999827E_h$. All SCF values are given in Table 1, in atomic units³⁷ (au). We expect these values to have converged closer than 1% to the Hartree–Fock limit for all properties.

Electron correlation effects on the dipole polarizability were obtained via many-body perturbation theory (MP)³⁸ and coupled

TABLE 2: Electron Correlation Effects on the DipolePolarizability of I_2 at R_e (in au)

| method | α_{zz} | α_{xx} | α | Δα | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Basis set B1.1 $[6s5p4d]^a$ | | | | | | | |
| SCF | 95.19 | 51.99 | 66.39 | 43.20 | | | |
| MP2 | 94.47 | 53.15 | 66.93 | 41.32 | | | |
| MP3 | 93.92 | 53.18 | 66.76 | 40.74 | | | |
| MP4 | 94.17 | 53.55 | 67.09 | 40.62 | | | |
| CCSD | 94.22 | 53.28 | 66.93 | 40.93 | | | |
| CCSD(T) | 94.22 | 53.60 | 67.14 | 40.63 | | | |
| Basis set B2.3 $[11s9p6d2f]^b$ | | | | | | | |
| SCF | 99.74 | 54.21 | 69.38 | 45.53 | | | |
| MP2 | 98.11 | 55.79 | 69.90 | 42.32 | | | |

^{*a*} The 36 innermost orbitals were kept frozen in electron correlation calculations. ^{*b*} In the MP2 calculations the 36 innermost orbitals were kept frozen and excitations were not allowed to the 28 highest virtual ones.

cluster theory (CCSD, or single and double excitations CC and CCSD(T) which includes an estimate of connected triple excitations by a perturbational treatment).³⁹ CCSD(T) calculations were performed with basis B1.1 at the equilibrium geometry,⁴⁰ R_e , MP2 calculations with B2.2 at R_e and eight other bond lengths around R_e . All calculations were performed with the GAUSSIAN 92 set of programs.⁴¹ The results are in Table 2. We have also obtained the shape of the polarizability curves around R_e from MP2 calculations with basis B2.2. The mean and anisotropy were found to vary as

$$\alpha(\mathbf{R}) = 69.90 + 11.64(R - R_e) + 1.95(R - R_e)^2 - 1.45(R - R_e)^3 - 0.45(R - R_e)^4$$
$$\Delta\alpha(R) = 42.35 + 21.09(R - R_e) + 6.80(R - R_e)^2 - 3.52(R - R_e)^3 - 1.66(R - R_e)^4$$

From these curves and experimental spectroscopic constants⁴⁰ we obtained α , $\Delta \alpha$, in the vJ rovibrational state⁴² as

$$(vJ|\alpha|vJ) = 69.90 + 0.1371(v + \frac{1}{2}) + 0.000007J(J + 1)$$

$$(vJ|\Delta\alpha|vJ) = 42.35 + 0.2774(v + \frac{1}{2}) + 0.000013J(J+1)$$

We combine all the aforementioned data to estimate the static limit of α and $\Delta \alpha$ at the ground vibrational state. The electron correlation correction to α is small. Comparing the MP2 values for B1.1 and B2.3 we see that 0.8 au is a very conservative estimate of the correction. The zero-point vibrational correction for α is 0.07 au. Our best SCF value (B3.2) is 70.054 au. In total, adding the above corrections, we advance a theoretical estimate of $\alpha = 70.9 \pm 1.4$ au (an uncertainty of 2%). We follow a similar line of reasoning for $\Delta \alpha$. For B1.1 the CCSD- Electrooptical Properties and Molecular Polarization of I₂



Figure 2. Electrostatic interaction energy between an electron and I_2 (the molecule on the *z* axis). The zero contour is explicitly marked. The solid contours correspond to interaction energies of 0.0005, 0.002, and $0.05E_h$ in increasing distance from the molecule. The dashed contours correspond to -0.05, -0.01, -0.003, and $-0.0005 E_h$ in order of increasing *z* distance from the origin. The unit of length is $2a_0$ on either axis.



Figure 3. Total interaction energy between an electron and I₂ (the molecule on the *z* axis). The zero contour is explicitly marked. The solid contours correspond to interaction energies of 0.00006 and $0.000085E_h$ in order of increasing *x* distance. The dashed contours correspond to -0.05, -0.01, -0.003, -0.0005, and $-0.0001 E_h$ in order of increasing *x* distance from the origin. The unit of length is $2a_0$ on either axis.

(T) value for this property is 0.7 au lower than the MP2 one. This means that given the B2.3 correction of -3.2 au, we should expect the true effect to be ≈ -4.0 au. Adding this and vibrational correction of 0.14 au to the SCF B2.3 value of 45.013 au, we obtained $\Delta \alpha = 41.2 \pm 0.8$ au (an uncertainty of 2%).

Molecular Polarization. We relied on the present SCF values of Θ , Φ , α_{zz} , and α_{xx} and higher polarizabilities from previous work⁴³ to obtain polarization maps of I₂ interacting with the general static electric field of an electron or a proton.⁴⁴ The interaction energy ΔE is decomposed into an electrostatic (E_{est} , due to the electric moments) and an inductive (E_{ind} , due to the electric polarizability) part, $\Delta E = E_{est} + E_{ind}$. These 2D maps⁴⁵ provide valuable information about the distribution of electrostatic interaction of I₂ with an electron. Figures 3 and 4 show the total interaction for I₂···e⁻ and I₂···H⁺. As iodine is a very soft molecule, the I₂···e⁻ interaction is attractive in a



Figure 4. Total interaction energy between a proton and I_2 (the molecule on the *z* axis). The zero contour is explicitly marked. The solid contours correspond to interaction energies of 0.0002, 0.0003, and 0.0004*E*_h in order of increasing *z* distance. The dashed contours correspond to -0.05, -0.01, -0.003, -0.0005, and $-0.0002E_h$ in order of increasing *z* distance from the origin. The unit of length is $2a_0$ on either axis.

TABLE 3: Comparison of Theory and Experiment

| method | α/au | $\Delta \alpha$ /au | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|
| SDQ-MP4 ^{<i>a</i>} MP2 ^{<i>b</i>} present estimate | Theory 69.33 69.81 70.9 ± 1.4 | 42.10 42.15 41.2 ± 0.8 | |
| | Experiment $69.7 \pm 1.8^{\circ}$ | 45.1 ± 2.3^{d} | |

^{*a*} MP4 with single, double, and quadruple excitations.⁴³ ^{*b*} Reference 46. ^{*c*} Present investigation, see text. ^{*d*} Reference 47.

large area around I₂ and becomes repulsive at distances >14 a_0 on the x axis. The I₂···H⁺ interaction becomes repulsive for the proton around the z axis, at distances >10 a_0 with a maximum at \approx 14 a_0 .

Discussion

Experiment and theory are compared in Table 3. The present experimental value (static limit) of $\alpha = 69.7 \pm 1.8$ au is quite close to the theoretical estimate of 70.9 \pm 1.4 au. Our theoretical values agree well with previous efforts. It appears now that theory predicts $\Delta \alpha$ systematically lower than the only available experimental value.⁴⁷ The conservative uncertainty of the latter 45.1 \pm 2.3 au, if taken into account, bridges the discrepancy with the present estimate of 41.2 \pm 0.8 au.

It should be mentioned that we have not considered relativistic effects. Kellö and Sadlej⁴⁸ found that the relativistic correction lowers the value of α_{zz} of HI from 38.15 to 37.84 au. The same property is reduced from 69.0 to 68.2 au for ICN.⁴⁹ In both cases the effect is smaller than the uncertainty, admittedly a conservative one, adopted here.

An estimate of the polarizability of atomic iodine shall conclude the discussion. We have observed the linear relationship $D/J \text{ mol}^{-1} = (561 \pm 25) \times 10^3 + (1.06 \pm 0.37) \times 10^5 \delta \alpha$ between the bond dissociation energy D and the change in polarizability $\delta \alpha = \sum_{P} \alpha_P - \alpha_R$ (in au) in the course of a chemical reaction⁵⁰ like $A_m B_n \rightarrow mA + nB$. Here, α_P denotes the polarizability of the products A or B, respectively, whereas α_R is the polarizability of the reactant $A_m B_n$. Using our experimentally determined polarizability of $\alpha_{L_2}(0) = 69.7 \pm 1.8$ au and the bond dissociation energy of iodine of D = 152.532kJ mol⁻¹, a polarizability of atomic iodine of $\alpha_l(0) = 32.9 \pm$ 1.3 au is obtained. This is in very good accordance with an approximation based on experimental results given by Braun and Hölemann⁵¹ of $\alpha_{l}(0) = 33.4$ au.

Acknowledgment. U.H. and D.G. are grateful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for generous grants.

References and Notes

- (1) Hanna, D. C; Yuratich, M. A.; Cotter, D. Nonlinear optics of atoms and molecules; Springer: Berlin, 1979.
 - (2) Shen, Y. R. Principles of nonlinear optics; Wiley: New York, 1984.
- (3) Birnbaum, G., Ed. Phenomena induced by intermolecular interactions; Plenum: New York, 1985.
- (4) Tabisz, G., Neumann, M. N., Eds. Collision- and Interaction-Induced Spectroscopy; Kluwer: Dordrecht, 1995.
 - (5) Lane, N. F. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1980, 52, 29.
 - (6) Dykstra, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7540.
 - (7) Dykstra, C. E. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2339.
- (8) Hehre, W. J.; Pau, C. F.; Headley, A. D.; Taft, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1711.
 - (9) Headley, A. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2347.
 - (10) Vela, A.; Gázquez, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1490.
 - (11) Nagle, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4741.
 - (12) Pal, S; Chandra, A. K. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 13865.
 - (13) Nilar, S. H.; Pluta, T. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 117, 12603.
 - (14) Lecours, S. M.; Guan, H. W.; Dimagno, S. G.; Wang, C. H.;
- Therien, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1497.
- (15) Priyadarshy, S.; Therien, M. J.; Beratan, D. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1504.
- (16) Gierke, T. D.; Tigelaar, H. L.; Flygare, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 330.
 - (17) Miller, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8533.
 - (18) Miller, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8543.
 - Stout, J. M.; Dykstra, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5129.
 Liu, S. Y.; Dykstra, C. E. J. Phys. Chem. 1987, 91, 1749.

 - (21) Garcia-Vela, A. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 104, 1047.
- (22) Sterling, M.; Zadoyan, R.; Apkarian, V. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 104 6497
 - (23) Ben-Nun, M.; Levine, R. D. Chem. Phys. 1995, 201, 163.
- (24) Appadoo, D. R. T.; LeRoy, R. J.; Bernath, P. F.; Gerstenkorn, S.;
- Luc, P.; Verges, J.; Sinzelle, J.; Chevillard, J.; D'Aignaux, Y. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 104, 903.
- (25) Fletcher, D. G.; McDaniel, J. C. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 1995, 54, 837.
- (26) Goebel, D.; Hohm, U.; Kerl, K.; Trümper, U.; Maroulis, G. J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 13123.
- (27) Goebel, D.; Hohm, U.; Maroulis, G. Phys. Rev. A 1996, 54, 1973.
- (28) Goebel, D.; Hohm, U. Phys. Rev. A 1995, 52, 3691.
- (29) Goebel, D.; Hohm, U. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 7710.

(30) Born, M. Optik; Springer: New York, 1985; p 479.

- (31) Capelle, G. A.; Broida, H. P. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 58, 4212.
- (32) Okabe, H. Photochemistry of Small Molecules; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1979; p 187.
 - (33) Mulliken, R. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1971, 55, 288.
 - (34) Buckingham, A. D. Adv. Chem. Phys. 1967, 12, 107.

(35) From: Poirier, R.; Kari, R.; Csizmadia, I. G. Handbook of Gaussian basis sets; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1985. The B1 group is built upon the 53.3.1 basis of the collection or [5s4p2d] augmented with diffuse 1s1p-GTF and one d-GTF with exponent chosen to maximize the mean polarizability α . The B2 group is built upon the 53.1.1 set or [10s8p4d] + 1s1p-GTF (diffuse) and one d-GTF with the exponent chosen in the same way. The B2.3 set contains additional 1s1p1d-GTF (diffuse). Further details available from the authors.

(36) Partridge, H.; Faegri, K., Jr. High quality Guassian basis sets for fourth-row atoms; NASA Technical Memorandum 103918, March 1992. An initial primitive basis (24s19p13d) contracted to [19s14p10d] and augmented by 1s1p2d-GTF (diffuse) gave B3.0. The final B3.2 contains additional diffuse 1s1p1d-GTF. Further details available from the authors.

(37) Conversion factors to SI units: energy, $1E_h = 4.3597482 \times 10^{-18}$ J, length, $1a_0 = 0.529 \ 177 \ 249 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}$, Θ , $1ea_0^2 = 4.486 \ 554 \times 10^{-40}$ C m², Φ , $1ea_0^4 = 1.256\ 363 \times 10^{-60}$ C m⁴ and α , $1e^2a_0^2E_h^{-1} = 1.648\ 778 \times 10^{-41}$ C² m² J⁻¹.

- (38) Urban, M.; Cernusak, I.; Kellö, V.; Noga, J. Methods Comput. Chem. 1987, 1, 117 where the definition of the various orders MPn is also to be found.
- (39) Raghavachari, K.; Trucks, G. W.; Pople, J. A.; Head-Gordon, M. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1987, 157, 479.

(40) Huber, K. P.; Herzberg, G. Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure IV; Van Nostrand-Reinhold: New York, 1979.

(41) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Head-Gordon, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Wong, M. W.; Foresman, J. B.; Johnson, B. G.; Schlegel, H. B.; Robb, M.

A.; Replogle, E. S.; Gomperts, R.; Andres, J. L.; Raghavachari, K.; Binkley, J. S.; Gonzalez, C.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Defrees, D. J.; Baker, J.;

Stewart, J. J. P.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 92 (Revision C), Carnegie-Mellon

- Quantum Chemistry Publishing Unit, 1992.
 - (42) Buckingham, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1962, 36, 3096.
 - (43) Maroulis, G.; Thakkar, A. J. Mol. Phys. 1991, 73, 1235.
 - (44) Bishop, D. M.; Maroulis, G. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 2380.
- (45) Giannopoulos, G.; Haritos, C.; Maroulis, G. MOL-Q (Version 1.5) A program for the study and graphical presentation of the interaction of
- molecules with electric charges; Department of Chemistry, University of Patras, 1992.
 - (46) Dougherty, J.; Spackman, M. A. Mol. Phys 1994, 82, 193.
 - (47) Callahan, D. W.; Jokozeki, A.; Muenter, J. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1980,
- 72, 4791.
 - (48) Kellö, V.; Sadlej, A. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1990, 93, 8122.
 - (49) Kellö, V.; Sadlej, A. J. Mol. Phys. 1992, 75, 209.
 - (50) Hohm, U. J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 101, 6362
 - (51) Braun, A.; Hölemann, P. Z. Phys. Chem. 1936, B 34, 357.
- (52) Cuthbertson, C; Cuthbertson, M. Proc. R. Soc. London 1910, A88, 13.

(53) Larsson, R.; Folkensson, B. J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 1990, 50, 251.